The Jones Buffer

Dave Jones

Dave Jones has been a working video artist and engineer since the early 1970s, involved with artists and organizations including Shirley Clark, VideoFreex, and the Experimental Television Center. After spending the early 1970s touring Europe with his multi-media performance group VideoHeads, Jones went on to work for the Experimental Television Center and found his own video tool company, Designlab, in 1985. Jones is well-known for utilizing concepts from analog audio synthesis in his video tool designs. His most prominent creations include the Jones digitizer, sequencer, colorizer, keyer, and frame buffer. This research paper focuses on the Jones frame buffer, or FB-1 model, the culmination of years of experimentation combining concepts used in the digitizer, sequencer, colorizer, and keyer. 

Trajectory of Development

In 1974 Dave Jones began development on analog-to-digital and digital-to-analog converters, circuits that would transform an analog video signal into...
digital bits and subsequently back into analog form. [Fig. 1] The resulting processed image displayed a rudimentary rendering of the original video comprised of 16 levels of gradation from black to white. These grey levels represented the luminance of the original video signal expressed in lower resolution digital pixels.

![Fig. 1 Hand drawn images of Dave Jones' Digital-to-Analog & Analog-to-Digital converters](image)

In early 1975, Jones added a digital memory board to his analog-to-digital/digital-to-analog converter, creating a device capable of caching pixels on several periodic scan lines of video. This pixelizer, “line buffer” as Jones named it, would repeat a given scan line of pixels several times before sampling the video signal again and repeating that scan line correspondingly. The resulting frame displayed a more pixelated version of the original high-resolution digital picture, giving the image the appearance of being stretched down the frame.

---

This “line buffer” device also had oscillator input, allowing the size of the pixels to be adjusted themselves.

The evolution continued into April of 1977, when Jones purchased several surplus IBM integrated circuits from an electronic parts store in Kingston, New York. His take that day included several memory chips each capable of holding 4096 bits of data. Jones used these memory chips to built a circuit that would connect with his analog-to digital/digital-to-analog converters, “[soldering] four of the memory chips on top of each other, with a pair of pins on each chip sticking out sideways, in order to run all of the signals from chip to chip.” The result was a 64 x 64 resolution frame buffer capable of storing one low-resolution still image. [Fig. 2 & Fig. 3] The 1992 “Eigenwelt der Apparate-Welt” issue of Ars Electronica gives a straightforward description of the 1977 still frame buffer:

“The 64 by 64 frame buffer [stored] images as a pattern of 64 horizontal by 64 vertical squares, with a choice of 16 grey levels per square. The cost of memory and analog to digital conversion limited the number of grey levels and resolution. These limitations yielded a video image meshed into a charming box-like grid of intensity that is frozen or held under front panel control. A 4 bit, 16 level video-speed Analog to Digital Converter, samples the monochrome video input. This is fed to a 4K by 4 bit static Random Access Memory (RAM), where it is held on command by a front panel push button, locked to the vertical interval. The output of the frame buffer memory passes to the output Digital to Analog converter, changing the video signal back to its analog form. When running “live” the image bypasses the frame buffer memory, passing straight to output. When “frozen,” the image is pulled from the frame buffer, showing the last stored picture. A horizontally/vertically locked address counter supplies the timing for the memory.”

5 Ibid.
Artist Gary Hill—at the time Jones’ roommate in Barrytown, New York—was the first video maker to experiment with the 64 x 64 still frame buffer. Though he had originally commissioned Jones to build a video colorizer, Hill soon discovered that he was more intrigued by the memory capabilities of this fledgling frame buffer prototype. He recalls arriving home one evening to find an image that would transform the direction of his artwork:

“One day I came home and Dave was gone. He had left the equipment on, and there was this digitally stored image on the screen of him smiling and waving. Suddenly colorizing seem superficial, next to having access and control over the architecture of the frame in real time.”

Both Jones and Hill remember this anecdote as the pivotal moment when they realized the significance of his creation. Hill began building reproductions of Jones’ 64 x 64 frame buffer circuit boards, continuing to use them in his work throughout the late 1970s.

---

Gary Hill was not the only artist inspired by Jones' creation. In 1979, Jones was back in Binghamton, New York at the Experimental Television Center when video artist Peer Bode approached him about building another frame buffer for his own work. Determined to improve upon his last design, Jones purchased several dynamic RAM chips, which stored more memory than the 4096 bit IBM chips he had used for the initial buffer. Jones and Bode constructed a 256 x 256 buffer, a higher resolution version of the frame buffer prototype. This frame buffer "had a variable oscillator for the horizontal oscillator, which made variable width vertical columns in the image"; by altering this variable oscillator, a user could manipulate the horizontal resolution of the image being stored in the memory. Additionally, this buffer version included a built in keyer-like feature. This keyer enabled the user to control the storage of the images in the memory chip, resulting in the ability to essentially superimpose a live image on top of previously

---
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stored images. This created an effect neither of the creators had previously encountered: a “memory trail” in which the live image seemed to smear across the monitor.\textsuperscript{11}

By 1980, this device had developed into the early iteration of the Jones Buffer, offering the same real-time digitization and selective storage/keyer capabilities of its predecessor, but with the added potential to interface with the Cromemco Z-80\textsuperscript{12} computer system. When operating in conjunction with the Z-80, the frame buffer could store and playback up to 16 pages of still images, creating the illusion of animation through sequencing multiple consecutive frames.\textsuperscript{13} [Fig. 4]

![Jones Frame Buffer](image)

\textit{Fig. 4 “Jones Frame Buffer.” ETC Studio System Manual. 1980}

\textsuperscript{11} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{12} Dave Jones also refers to this as the S-100 Cromemco.
The years between 1981 and 1983 were spent undertaking research to further develop and improve upon this version of the Jones Buffer. With grant funding provided by the Experimental Television Center, Jones was able to have printed circuit boards etched for the final iteration of the frame buffer in 1983-84. Marketed as the FB-1 model, this frame buffer had the ability to store and retrieve 32 frames of video image, and featuring voltage control inputs for extensive image manipulation and the possibility to interface the device with custom computer software. During this period the Jones Buffer was still operating with software for the Cromemco Z-80 computer system, “one of the only commercially available “low-cost” digital devices, which incorporated concepts of video, and recordable signal output” at that time.\(^{14}\) However, Jones switched computer systems around 1986 when the Experimental Television Center acquired a Commodore AMIGA 1000 computer, noting this system in the official FB-1 operation manual published by his company, Designlab.

FB-1 Model: Design & Function

The FB-1 model shared similarities with its immediate predecessor: it had 256x256 resolution, could store and retrieve a sequence of still images, and had a built-in keyer. But Jones had spent several years improving on his designs, and the FB-1 featured many novel functions: the memory was now capable of storing and retrieving 32 frames of video image when paired with the AMIGA 1000 [Fig. 6]; the “BufPalette” software allowed the user to change the speed of the storage and playback, pause and alternate the directional order of the sequence, and “colorize” the gray levels with an RGB slider, an effect Jones referred to as “pseudo-color”; key clip capabilities were extended with an additional external...
keying option; but the most important improvement was the addition of control voltage inputs.\textsuperscript{15}

The implementation of voltage control manipulation is the primary feature that sets the Jones Buffer apart from other frame buffers of the time. Inspired by the electronic audio community, Jones began applying voltage control concepts used primarily in analog audio synthesizers to his video synthesizer designs, “[adding] incredible power to the video machines since now you could turn any, or all, of the knobs at once.”\textsuperscript{16} In his 1978 article “Voltage Control and the Analog Synthesizer”, Richard Brewster explains that adjusting the gain automatically via the voltage control inputs can be advantageous in comparison to the manual alternative by allowing “variations which are smoother and quicker than is
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\caption{“Jones Frame Buffer.” ETC Video History Project: Early Media Instruments. Experimental Television Center, 2010 DVD.}
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possible by hand”, “increased precision”, and “step-like movement.”

The control panel of the FB-1 featured three knobs with adjoining voltage control inputs labeled “Contrast”, “Brightness”, and “Key Clip”. [Fig. 5] Both the knobs and the inputs use voltage to control and manipulate the analog video signal before it is digitized: when an external control voltage source, such as an oscillator, remote control panel, or computer is plugged into the input on the frame buffer, that voltage interacts with the original knob voltage allowing more complex manipulations to occur. In short, Jones’ use of voltage control in the frame buffer design broadens the scope of the potential image manipulation.

The “Brightness” and “Contrast” knobs are relatively self-explanatory, and their corresponding voltage control inputs adjust the size and bias of the main video signal. Patching an oscillator into the voltage control input beneath the “Brightness” knob on the frame buffer causes horizontal bands to flow down the video image. As the frequency of the wave is increased, the bands multiply in number, and as the frequency is decreased, the nature of the shapes within the image content begin to be more visibly warped by the oscillation. The “Contrast” knob and voltage control input have decidedly more subtle effects, softening the edges of the forms within the video. In both cases, the nature of the effect is determined by the gain, frequency, and shape of the wave itself [sine, square, sawtooth, square, pulse]. [Figs. 7 & 8]

---

The built in keying function allows the live image to play over the stored image in real time. The 32 frames of memory are constantly resampled as the live image plays across it, compounding the individual frames with each pass.
Images are built up on top of each other leaving what Jones refers to as a “memory trail” in their wake. Using the AMIGA 1000 software, the user can pause on an individual frame, allowing the stored samplings from the live image to build up exclusively on the chosen frame. This will interrupt a fluid animation sequence, but creates more complex graphical imagery. [Fig. 9 & 10]
Additionally, the software allows the image to be digitally colorized by choosing individual gray levels from the sidebar 16 level spectrum and assigning a computer generated “pseudo-color” using RGB labeled sliders. Each gray level can take on a different color determined by the varying degree of Red, Green, and Blue set by the sliders. There are “4096 colors and grays to choose from, but only sixteen can be seen at once”. [Fig. 11]

![Fig. 11 “Jones Frame Buffer.” ETC Video History Project: Early Media Instruments. Experimental Television Center, 2010 DVD.](image)

The “Key Clip” knob and voltage control input affect the second video input, allowing the user to select gray levels within the image and to choose whether the light parts or dark parts of the 16 gray levels will be stored. Jones describes the operational flow of this process:

“The clip control works on the analog video that goes into the second video input of the buffer, which is the "clip" video input. That second image is fed into an analog "comparator" which compares the video signal with a DC voltage set by

---

the knob or a moving control voltage fed into the control voltage input. The comparator circuit then compares the video against that voltage and creates a single bit digital signal which is positive when the video is brighter than the knob or control voltage, and is negative when the video is below that level."²¹

In other words, light or dark parts of the image are stored or not stored in accordance with their relationship to the voltage being input into the system. This is regulated by four switches on the panel labeled: Key On, Key Off, Key Normal, and Key Reverse. When the Key Off + Key Normal switches are activated, the monitor shows only the stored frames. When the Key Off + Key Reverse switches are activated, only the live images plays back on the screen. When the Key On + Key Normal switch are activated, the light parts of the main image are stored, whereas when the Key Reverse is activated in Key On mode, the dark parts are stored. [Fig. 12]

---

In addition to these keying features, the FB-1 model also allows an external keying processing from a second live video source. During external keying, a "key edge" is set by defining the shape of a light object against a black background. The key edge determines where the live image and stored image will be played back; the user can choose to play the stored image inside or outside of the key edge, while the key edge itself is stored and played back simultaneously. The key edge can also be used as a solitary fill image, able to be manipulated further using voltage control. [Figs. 13 & 14]
Specifications and Modifications

The final FB-1 model of the Jones buffer was a versatile system with the potential to be customized and expanded for each individual user. It could be used in conjunction with a computer, a remote controlled panel provided by Designlab, or as a stand-alone single-frame unit.\footnote{22} It was possible to expand the number of frames available and add up to four inputs/outputs with additional memory boards, and to add a digital colorizer, but the standard system featured two inputs, one output, 16 frames of black and white video at 256 x 256 resolution, and four standard sync signals.\footnote{23} The number and type of sync

\footnote{23} Ibid.
signals varied according to the number and type of expansions added to the system.

Additional expansions are offered in the FB-1 Manual, published c. 1986, and include features including:

2. Frame Repositioner: Move the frame up & down, or side to side.
4. Buffer I/O: Multi function expansion board that includes:
   -Resolution control: 12 resolutions, 64x64 to 256x512.
   -Sketching: Draw lines, dots, etc. in the buffer.
   -Archive: Save images on your computer’s disk.
   -Animation: Retrieve pieces of images from disk in long sequences.”

According to Jones, the fourth expansion option was the only one that was ever created. The Buffer I/O was an additional board that provided the computer with access to the buffer circuitry. The resolution control was primarily used with customized software and allowed the user to add more frames by reducing the resolution. The sketching allowed the user to generate graphics by “drawing” pixels on the buffer memory. Jones notes that these two features were rarely implemented. The archive feature saved the buffer’s stored images onto the computer’s disk drive, allowing a user to retrieve sequences of images later, often to be printed off. Finally, the animation feature worked in conjunction with the archive, allowing sequences stored on the computer’s disk drive to be retrieved and played back any time. This feature also allowed a user to create new

\[24\] Ibid.
images or manipulate stored images in computer paint programs and later play them back in a designated sequence.\textsuperscript{25}

\textbf{Presentation and Preservation}

The FB-1/Jones Buffer was used as an in-house production tool at the Experimental Television Center and sold to individual consumers for at-home use. Though the consumer market sometimes used the device in a performative context, the FB-1/Jones Buffer was primarily used in production and post-production work. Implementing a standard video-output, it was possible to record directly to video tape, to run the processed video directly to a monitor, or to run the video signal through additional processing tools before recording a physical copy.\textsuperscript{26}

Aside from direct tape recording, there were two interrelated methods for saving content produced using the buffer, both involving the AMIGA 1000 software. The “Archive” expansion later added to FB-1 buffer models allowed a user to save still frames or sequences of frames onto the computer’s disk memory for future retrieval and processing. The user also had the option to literally print out still frames using a custom software designed by Jones called, “FinePrint”. This software was designed for a “dot matrix” printer using ink ribbons that were nearly used up. Originally created to simulate images using patterns composed of black dots and white blanks, Jones reimagined the

\textsuperscript{25} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{26} Jones, David. “Re: Follow Up Questions on the Jones Buffer.” E-mail message, 24 Nov. 2012.
potential output of these printers using the worn out ink ribbons to produce light
grays instead of blacks. Using an additive process\textsuperscript{27}, the faded ink ribbons would
“build up true levels of gray on the paper” by completing multiple passes across a
page. \textsuperscript{28} This enabled a user to print out simulations of the 16 levels of gray
digitized images created in the frame buffer. According to Jones, several
thousand copies of this software were sold, and several prominent video artists,
including Peer Bode, Connie Coleman, and Alan Powell, produced images using
this software that “were hung on the walls of galleries like paintings or
etchings”.\textsuperscript{29}

The preservation issues encountered by the FB-1/Jones Buffer extend in two directions, pertaining to form and content. In relation to form, the primary preservation issues concern the idea of authenticity and the integrity of the “original”. From the mid 1980s onwards, Jones was developing customized software for various computer systems to be paired with the frame buffer, an exercise that effectively extinguishes, or at the very least reduces, the chance of computer obsolescence. It would certainly be possible to design improved software today that could interface with the analog aspects of the frame buffer. Furthermore, the general types of electronic parts and memory chips used in the construction of the machine are not obsolete, meaning that the circuitry could be emulated with newer parts that could even be more effective as

\textsuperscript{28} Jones, David. “Re: Follow Up Questions on the Jones Buffer.” E-mail message, 24 Nov. 2012.
\textsuperscript{29} Ibid.
long as original schematics are available. The issue at stake is the importance of authenticity in reconstructing a machine: the Cromemco Z80 and the AMIGA 1000 have been out of production for decades, and it is likely that many of the specific circuitry components are no longer made, even if there are near identical versions available. Many of the original characteristics produced by this early software and hardware might be lost in contemporary emulation, even potentially undesirable flaws such as slight delays in the “real-time” image. These idiosyncrasies represent the authenticity of the original device, and the inability to access the hardware and software native to this apparatus means modern copies could only exist as representative facsimiles.

The other preservation issue related to the buffer concerns the content produced using the device. Clearly any content that is recorded onto magnetic tape will face preservation issues intrinsic to that format. Due to natural material decay and playback obsolescence, this content must be migrated to secondary formats. It is without question that content is necessarily degraded each time it is transferred, but an even more prominent quality issue arises in proper exhibition/playback of these outdated video recordings. Video content was made to be exhibited on cathode ray tube monitors which are quickly approaching obsolescence. Because the original image quality of video is not properly represented on flat-screened televisions, content of this era will suffer from poor exhibitive integrity.
Additionally, much of the content produced on the FB-1/Jones Buffer was saved onto obsolete computer disk drives, most of which would be exceptionally difficult to access in present times. This “archived” content is subject not only to format/playback obsolescence, but also to the proper operation of the computer’s memory itself, which could potentially fail and erase these “saved” images.

A DVD produced by the Experimental Television Center released in 2010 includes a recorded demonstration of a fully-operational FB-1 model frame buffer from 2005. The original FB-1 hardware and AMIGA software are used in this demo, indicating that some care has been taken to preserve the integrity of this device at the ETC center. Still, many video artists created content using this device at ETC and at home, and it is primarily this work that is most at risk.

**Conclusions**

Jones continued designing image processing tools for video and installation artists throughout the 1990s, in addition to industrial engineering work and computer software development projects.\(^{30}\) Though his image processing work in the 1970s and early 1980s revolved around a “‘system’ concept”, or “a collection of video processing and control voltage processing devices […] tied together with a central patch panel”, his later designs took on a modular approach.\(^{31}\) By developing individual modules that had the same image processing functions as his previous “system” designs, “the central patch [was]...

---


eliminated, and the user [could] build their own system with whatever combination of modules they enjoy the most." 32 This user/consumer-geared approach to system design continues to be implemented by contemporary image processing companies such as LZX Industries, which produces individual modules modeled after various influential 1970s/80s designs. 33 Jones himself continues to create video processing devices and is slated to release new modules in 2013.

32 Ibid.
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